

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address Commission (See Patents and Trademark Office Address Commission (See Patents and Trademarks) www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10'092,889	03/08/2002	Volkmar Wehner	38005-0164	1149
26633 7	7590 02:28:2003			
HELLER EHRMAN WHITE & MCAULIFFE LLP 1666 K STREET,NW SUITE 300			EXAMINER	
			WRIGHT, SONYA N	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

DATE MAILED: 02/28/2003

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/092,889	WEHNER ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Sonya Wright	1626				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		e correspondence address				
Period fo							
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDO	days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. INED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)□	<u> </u>	is action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)	Claim(s) $1-15$ is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3-5,10,14 and 15</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊡	⊡ Claim(s) <u>1 and 13</u> is/are rejected.						
7)🔽	Claim(s) <u>1,2,6-9 and 11-12</u> is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
	on Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	•					
44)[7] -	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •				
	The proposed drawing correction filed on		Droved by the Examiner.				
12)[] -	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-						
·	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	armirer.					
		a priority under 25 LLS C & 110	)(a) (d) or (f)				
, <u> </u>	<ul><li>Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li><li>a)  All b) Some * c) None of:</li></ul>						
a)	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
* S	application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e) (to a provisional application).				
	)  The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• •					
Attachment							
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>3</u>	5) Notice of Inform	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)				
			<del></del>				

Art Unit: 1626

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-15 are pending in this application.

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-13 drawn to compounds of formula I and a process of preparing compounds of formula I, classified in class 548, subclass 316.7.
- II. Claims 14 and 15 drawn to a process for preparing a pharmaceutically active ingredient, classified in various classes and subclasses.

The groups are distinct, each from the other because:

Groups I and II <u>supra</u> are distinct, each from the other, because they differ in structure and/or element so as to be patentably distinct, and a prior art reference anticipating but one of the groups would not render obvious the other groups under 35 U.S.C. 103 (MPEP 806.04(f)). Each group is capable of supporting its own patent. Examination of more than one of the above groups would be an undue burden as it would require additional search in both the patent and non-patent literature.

Inventions in Groups I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product. See the specification, on page 2, the Description of the Prior Art, first paragraph, which

Art Unit: 1626

discloses that hydantoins may be synthesized using different starting compounds and proceeding via different intermediates.

The above groups themselves are inclusive of patentably distinct subject matter.

Accordingly, along with the election of one of the above groups, the following action is also taken.

Claims 1-15 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should Applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, Applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Upon the election of a single disclosed species, a generic concept inclusive of the elected species will be identified by the examiner for examination along with the elected species.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

Art Unit: 1626

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

During a telephone conversation with Mrs. Patricia Granados on 1/22/03 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-13 and the species of Example 4. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

The following generic concept as depicted in claim 6, formula 1e, and claim 11, formulas 1a, 1b, and 1c is identified for examination along with the elected embodiment. R1, R2, R3, R4, R5, and R6 are, independent of one another, selected from the group consisting of hydrogen or an unsubstituted residue selected from the group consisting of (C1-C6)-alkyl, (C2-C6)-alkenyl, (C2-C6)-alkynyl, (C3-C7)-cycloalkyl, (C3-C7)-cycloalkyl, (C1-C4)-alkyl, or a salt thereof.

The remaining subject matter of claims 1, 2, 6-9, 11-13 in part and claims 3-5, 10, 14 and 15 in their entirety stand withdrawn from further consideration under 37 CFR 1.142(b) as constituting other patentably distinct inventions.

The withdrawn subject matter of claims 1, 2, 6-9, 11-13 in part and claims 3-5, 10, 14 and 15 in their entirety is properly restricted as said subject matter differs in structure and element from the elected subject matter so as to be patentably distinct therefrom, i.e. a reference which anticipated the elected subject matter would not even render obvious the withdrawn subject matter and fields of search are not co-extensive.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/092,889

Art Unit: 1626

Claims 1, 2, 6-9, and 11-13 are objected to as containing non-elected subject matter. This objection may be overcome by limiting the claims to the elected subject matter identified supra.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

For rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, the following factors must be considered (In re Wands, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (CAFC, 1988)):

- 1) Nature of invention.
- 2) State of prior art.
- 3) Level of ordinary skill in the art.
- 4) Level of predictability in the art.
- 5) Amount of direction and guidance provided by the inventor.
- 6) Existence of working examples.
- 7)Breadth of claims.
- 8) Quantity of experimentation needed to make or use the invention based on the content of the disclosure.

Claim 13 is directed to "a process for preparing a hydantoin of formula I as claimed in claim 1, which comprises reacting the compound of formula II with a

Art Unit: 1626

compound of formula III". The specification does not reasonably provide enablement for the instantly claimed process.

The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains to make or use the invention commensurate in scope with this claim. In particular, the specification fails to enable the skilled artisan to practice the invention without undue experimentation. The claims lack positive steps which teach how "reacting the compound of formula II with a compound of formula III" is performed. There is little predictability in the art of which modifications may be made to the process as claimed in order to prepare the claimed compound. The phrase "reacting the compound of formula II with a compound of formula III" may encompass a great number of processes, however, without some guidance as to how these processes are performed, there would be little predictability in making the invention as claimed. The level of ordinary skill in the art is high. The skilled artisan would have a numerous amount of modifications to perform in the process as claimed order to obtain the claimed compound, therefore undue experimentation would be required to prepare instant compounds which are useful as intermediates.

This rejection can be overcome by Applicant listing positive process steps in claim 13, such as the process steps given on page 20, lines 12-19 of the specification.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 1626

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, R is defined to be a "residue of an amino carboxylic acid or of an amino carboxylic acid derivative". One of ordinary skill in the art cannot determine the metes and bounds of the phrase "residue of an amino carboxylic acid or of an amino carboxylic acid derivative". The phrase "residue of an amino carboxylic acid or of an amino carboxylic acid derivative" is broadly defined in the specification on page 9, lines 21-23 and on page 10, lines 1-19. It is requested that Applicant limit the claims to the generic concept identified (supra) in order to overcome this rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sonya Wright, whose telephone number is (703) 308-4539. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Joseph K. McKane, can be reached at (703) 308-4537. The Unofficial fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7922. The Official fax phone numbers for this Group are (703) 308-4556 or 305-3592.

When filing a FAX in Technology Center 1600, please indicate in the Header (upper right) "Official" for papers that are to be entered into the file, and "Unofficial" for draft documents and other communications with the PTO that are not for entry into the file of the application. This will expedite processing of your papers.

Art Unit: 1626

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [joseph.mckane@uspto.gov]. All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees will not communicate with applicant via Internet e-mail where sensitive data will be exchanged or where there exists a possibility that sensitive data could be identified unless there is of record an express waiver of the confidentiality requirements under 35 U.S.C. 122 by the applicant. See the Interim Internet Usage Policy published by the Patent and Trademark Office Official Gazette on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Joseph K. McKane

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 1600

Sonya Wright

February 24, 2003